

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SER	IAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08	8/688,622	07/30/96	PAPAMOSCHOU		D	UC044.001DV1	
		TMAN				EXAMINER	
DA	NIEL E AL		34M1/0505		CASARE	GOLA, PAPER NUMBER	
K١	OBBE MART	ENS OLSON &		i	דואטיווה	ATT THAPEH NUMBER	
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660					3403		
					DATE MAILED:	05/05/97	
This is	s a communication MISSIONER OF PA	from the examiner in c ATENTS AND TRADE	charge of your application. MARKS				
A shor	rtened statutory pe	riod for response to thi	Responsive to communication s action is set to expire	month(s),	days fr	This action is made final om the date of this letter.	
Part 1	THE FOLLOWIN	NG ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:				
1, 3. 5.	Notice of Art (	erences Cited by Exam Cited by Applicant, PTO n How to Effect Drawin	D-1449.			atent Drawing Review, PTO-948. t Application, PTO-152.	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION							
1, 2	Claims / 7	7-3/				are pending in the application.	
•	Of the abo	ve, claims 20	21, 24, 26, 2	28, 29,	<i>31</i> _are	withdrawn from consideration.	
2.	Claims		-			_ have been cancelled.	
3.	Claims					_ are allowed.	
	_		25, 27, 30				
5. 🗆	Claims					_ are objected to.	
7.	This application t	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.					
8. 🗀	Formal drawings	are required in respon	se to this Office action.				
9. 🗀		the corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).					
10.	The proposed ac examiner;	ne proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the caminer; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).					
11.	The proposed dra	ne proposed drawing correction, filed, has beenapproved; disapproved (see explanation).					
12.	Acknowledgemen	knowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received been received been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on					
13. 🗀		ince this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in coordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
14. 🔲	Other						

Serial Number: 08/688,622

Art Unit: 3403

## Election

Applicant's election of the species comprising the engine system of Figure 1 and the exhaust configuration of Figure 7A is acknowledged. Applicant has listed claims 19-23, 25, 27, and 30 as readable on this species. It is submitted however that claims 20 and 21 do not in fact read on the elected species. Claim 20 requires that first and second exhaust streams pass through the same combustor before separating. This arrangement differs from the elected engine of Figure 1 in which first and second streams 30 and 32 originate from separate sources and pass through separate, parallel combustors 4 and 34. Claim 21 further states that the recited engine is a turbojet, whereas, the elected species of Figure 1 is a turbofan. Claims 20 and 21 are consequently withdrawn from consideration along with claims 24, 26, 28, 29, and 31 already excluded from applicants list. An action on the merits of elected claims 19, 22, 23, 25, 27, and 30 is set forth herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 19, 22, 23, 25, 27, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Wolf et al or Hazen et al.

Serial Number: 08/688,622

Art Unit: 3403

The present claims are sufficiently broad to read on any turbofan engine, such as that of Wolf or Hazen, which includes a combustion chamber in its bypass duct. Attention is called to the turbofan engine in Wolf's drawing figure. Note combustors 38 and 54 respectively located in the core engine and bypass duct, and note also inner nozzle (partition) 44 which divides the engine exhaust into first and second streams. Attention is additionally called to Hazen's Figure 1 which shows a similar engine configuration comprising respective core and bypass combustors 14 and 65 along with partition 56 for dividing the exhaust into two streams.

It is further noted that the claims describe the two exhaust streams as having different temperatures and also state that the invention serves to prevent Mach waves (claim 19, lines 11-15). This material however merely constitutes an intended mode of operation and/or a desired result. The claimed heating and combustion apparatus comprises no specific structure that would render it capable of operating any differently than the prior art. Any pair of combustion systems could be made to operate at different temperatures by simply varying their respective fuelair ratios. Furthermore, Wolf and Hazen each employ a bypass duct combustion system different from the corresponding core engine combustion system, which logically suggested that these systems do in fact operate at different temperatures.

Serial Number: 08/688,622

Art Unit: 3403

-4-

## Additional References

Jonker and Dusa are cited as disclosing further examples of turbofan engines with bypass combustion systems.

L. J. Casaregola 703-308-1027 703-305-3463 FAX April 30, 1997 LOUIS J. CASAREGOLA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 343